UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,479	04/09/2007	Gagik Barkhordarian	30572/41894	3323
4743 7590 08/29/2008 MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP 233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300			EXAMINER	
			JOHNSON, KEVIN M	
SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/584,479	BARKHORDARIAN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	KEVIN M. JOHNSON	1793		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Ar</u> 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-12 and 16-20 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 13-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 23 June 2006 is/are: a)	e withdrawn from consideration.  The election requirement.  The election requirement.	by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the case of the c	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
,—				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/2/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/584,479 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-6 and 13-15, drawn to a metal containing hydrogen storage material that contains an organometallic compound.

Group II, claim(s) 7-12 and 16-20, drawn to a method for the manufacture of a metal containing hydrogen storage material that contains an organometallic compound.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the common technical feature of a metal containing hydrogen storage material that contains an organometallic compound is known in the art (Imamura et al., International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 25 (2000) p837-843).
- 3. During a telephone conversation with Richard Anderson on 8/25/08 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-6 and 13-15. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 7-12 and 16-20 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/584,479

Art Unit: 1793

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 3

5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result

Application/Control Number: 10/584,479 Page 4

Art Unit: 1793

in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

### Information Disclosure Statement

6. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 10/2/2006 has been considered by the examiner, except for the items that have been lined through. An international search report is not considered to be proper prior art. Any references contained within an international search report must be listed separately in the IDS for them to be properly considered.

## Claim Objections

7. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "one of" should not be included in the claim, as it is dependent on only claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bogdanovic et al. (WO 01/68515, for the purposes of examination equivalent application US 2003/0053948 is referenced).

In regard to <u>claim 1</u>, Bogdanovic teaches a hydrogen storage material that comprises alkali metals or their hydrides, aluminum and transition metal organometallic catalysts. An example of the material is a combination of aluminum powder, sodium hydride and titanium tetrabutylate (paragraph 7).

Page 5

In regard to <u>claim 2</u>, Bogdanovic teaches that the titanium tetrabutylate is added drop wise to the aluminum and sodium hydride powder mixture under stirring or milling (paragraph 12). Therefore, the titanium tetrabutylate is a liquid.

In regard to <u>claims 3, 4 and 13</u>, while Bogdanovic fails to teach that the material has a nanocrystalline structure, it is considered to be inherent to the material. The material is produced by the same method as the instant invention, milling the raw materials in an inert atmosphere, utilizing the same classes of raw materials, and therefore would inherently have the same nanocrystalline structure.

In regard to claims 5, 6 and 14, Bogdanovic teaches that the titanium tetrabutylate preferably accounts for 1-5 mol% of the mixture (paragraph 12 and example 1).

In regard to <u>claim 15</u>, Bogdanovic teaches that the titanium tetrabutylate preferably accounts for 1-5 mol% of the mixture (paragraph 12 and example 1).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KEVIN M. JOHNSON whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 1793

(571)270-3584. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30 AM to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kevin M Johnson/ Examiner, Art Unit 1793

/Jessica L. Ward/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793